

- Suggests there is something special about rules.
- Collapses into act utilitarianism.

Reasonably foreseeable consequences are enough.

Impossible to predict consequences accurately.

Impartiality

Consequentialism: the hedonic calculus.

These issues might be addressed by moving to a satisficing utilitarianism.

Ignores special responsibilities

Bentham's utilitarianism

"a philosophy fit for swine"

Leads to distributive injustice

Sidgwick: Focusing on those closest *will* maximize happiness.

Welfarism: hedonism

Too time consuming to do the calculations.

Nozick's experience machine. The claim that happiness is the only thing people regard as having intrinsic value may be false.

- utilitarians have always favoured the intellectual pleasures.
- Mill's emphasis on quality.

Too demanding. Leaves no room for supererogatory actions.

Rule utilitarianism

Rule utilitarianism

The act utilitarian use of rules

Universalism

Not so in the long term as justice must be factored in.

Maximising

You can have unjust rules.

Leads to injustice

Aggregating